

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568)  
300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800  
Las Vegas, NV 89101  
Telephone: (702) 382-7300  
Facsimile: (702) 382-2755  
[rpocker@bsflp.com](mailto:rpocker@bsflp.com)

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (*pro hac vice*)  
FRED NORTON (*pro hac vice*)  
KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (*pro hac vice*)  
1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900  
Oakland, CA 94612  
Telephone: (510) 874-1000  
Facsimile: (510) 874-1460  
[sholtzman@bsflp.com](mailto:sholtzman@bsflp.com)  
[fnorton@bsflp.com](mailto:fnorton@bsflp.com)  
[kringgenberg@bsflp.com](mailto:kringgenberg@bsflp.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,  
Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle International  
Corp.

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP  
GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (*pro hac vice*)  
THOMAS S. HIXSON (*pro hac vice*)  
BREE HANN (*pro hac vice*)  
KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (*pro hac vice*)  
Three Embarcadero Center  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067  
Telephone: 415.393.2000  
Facsimile: 415.393.2286  
[geoff.howard@bingham.com](mailto:geoff.howard@bingham.com)  
[thomas.hixson@bingham.com](mailto:thomas.hixson@bingham.com)  
[kristen.palumbo@bingham.com](mailto:kristen.palumbo@bingham.com)  
[bree.hann@bingham.com](mailto:bree.hann@bingham.com)

DORIAN DALEY (*pro hac vice*)  
DEBORAH K. MILLER (*pro hac vice*)  
JAMES C. MAROULIS (*pro hac vice*)  
ORACLE CORPORATION  
500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7  
Redwood City, CA 94070  
Telephone: 650.506.4846  
Facsimile: 650.506.7114  
[dorian.daley@oracle.com](mailto:dorian.daley@oracle.com)  
[deborah.miller@oracle.com](mailto:deborah.miller@oracle.com)  
[jim.maroulis@oracle.com](mailto:jim.maroulis@oracle.com)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;  
ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware  
corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL  
CORPORATION, a California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;  
SETH RAVIN, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-PAL

**PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SEAL  
UNREDACTED COPY OF  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO MODIFY  
PROTECTIVE ORDER AND  
EXHIBITS A, D, AND E TO THE  
DECLARATION OF CHAD RUSSELL**

Pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing confidentiality of documents entered by the Court on May 21, 2010, Dkt. 55 (“Protective Order”), and Rules 5.2 and 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle International Corporation (together “Oracle” or “Plaintiffs”) respectfully request that the Court order the Clerk of the Court to file under seal an unredacted copy of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Modify Protective Order (the “Motion to Modify”), as well as Exhibits A, D, and E to the Declaration of Chad Russell in Support of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Modify Protective Order (“Russell Declaration”). An unredacted copy of the Motion to Modify, as well as Exhibits A, D, and E were individually lodged under seal with the Court on March 12, 2012. *See* Dkt. 274-277.

Sealing the unredacted Motion to Modify is requested because the redacted portions of the motion contains information that CedarCrestone, Inc. (“CedarCrestone”), the party which produced the information, has designated as “Confidential Information” and “Highly Confidential Information - Attorneys’ Eyes Only” under the terms of the Protective Order. Likewise sealing of Exhibits A, D, and E is requested because these documents have been designated as “Confidential Information” and “Highly Confidential Information - Attorneys’ Eyes Only” by CedarCrestone. The Protective Order states, “Counsel for any Designating Party may designate any Discovery Material as ‘Confidential Information’ or ‘Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys’ Eyes Only’ under the terms of this Protective Order **only if such counsel in good faith believes that such Discovery Material contains such information and is subject to protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c).** The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as ‘Confidential Information’ or ‘Highly Confidential Information – Attorneys’ Eyes Only’ shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation.” Protective Order ¶ 2 (emphasis supplied).

CedarCrestone has identified the information redacted in the Motion to Modify as well as Exhibits A, D, and E as Confidential and Highly Confidential, and therefore CedarCrestone has represented that good cause exists for sealing those portions of the documents. This is a sufficient showing of good cause to permit a sealing order on a non-dispositive motion. *See*,

1 *e.g., Pacific Gas and Elec. Co. v. Lynch*, 216 F. Supp. 2d 1016, 1027 (N.D. Cal. 2002).

2 Oracle has submitted all non-redacted portion so the Motion to Modify as well as all  
3 other exhibits to the Russell Declaration for filing in the Court's public files, which would allow  
4 public access to all but the redacted portions of the Motion to Modify and Exhibits A, D, and E.  
5 Accordingly, the request to seal is narrowly tailored.

6 For the foregoing reasons, Oracle respectfully requests that the Court find that good cause  
7 exists to file under seal the unredacted copy of the Motion to Modify and Exhibit A, D, and E to  
8 the Russell Declaration.

9  
10 DATED: May 14, 2012

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP

11  
12  
13 By: /s/ Geoffrey M. Howard  
14 Geoffrey M. Howard  
15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
16 Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc.,  
17 and Oracle International Corp.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28